

America most needs today? That the disciplined order of West Point has more to offer than the garish individualism of Main Street? . . . Upon the soldiers, the defenders of order, rests a heavy responsibility . . .”

### Organized Mediocrity

On the surface, Huntington’s book is an exaltation of the “military ethic,” which he claims is the necessary viewpoint of the “professional” military officer, without whom the U.S. cannot be secure.

What is his military professionalism? To take an example which was a recent one in 1957: The Korean War was the best example of military professionalism in U.S. history, according to Huntington. The soldiers were not fighting for political goals,— few knew what those goals might be in Korea. They were fighting out of loyalty to the institution, or simply waiting until their nine-month rotation ended.

And what is his “military ethic”?

It is a pessimistic view, which sees man as Hobbes saw him. It holds that man is evil, reason is limited, and human nature is universal and

unchanging; all men everywhere are the same. Man learns only from experience, and as Field Marshal Montgomery said, there is no progress. The importance of the group is stressed as against that of the individual; the individual’s will is subordinated to the group. It is a corporative and anti-individualistic viewpoint.

The “nation-state” is the ultimate form of political organization, and competition among nation-states, and therefore war as its continuation, are inevitable. Its cause is human nature. Nothing regulates states but power and expediency.

Genius is superfluous and dangerous,— what is needed is organized mediocrity. There should be no grand designs or sweeping goals.

The greatest virtue is “instant obedience,” cheerful and unthinking. “Theirs is not to reason why,” Huntington writes approvingly.

This much Huntington.

### Extreme Evil

Is it not chilling to read in a contemporary American textbook, many of the very same dogmas from which the Nazi

movement arose in post-World War I Germany, as Armin Möhler and others have documented? It is chilling just as September 11 was chilling,— how could we possibly have overlooked so extreme an evil for so long?

Along with his close friend Zbigniew Brzezinski, and with Henry Kissinger and McGeorge Bundy, Huntington was a creation of Harvard professor William Yandell Elliott, an agent of British influence and life-long neo-Confederate of the “Nashville Agrarian” tradition exposed by Stanley Ezrol.<sup>1</sup> *Soldier and State* argues that military professionalism in the U.S. came from the South, with its cultivation of violence, chivalry, the military ideal, and an atavistic feudal romanticism à la Sir Walter Scott. Thus, Huntington notes parenthetically that the only American group ever to have been dispossessed of its property, was the Southern slaveowners.

—Antony Papert

1. Stanley Ezrol, “Seduced from Victory: How the ‘Lost Corpse’ Subverts the American Intellectual Tradition,” *Executive Intelligence Review*, Aug. 3, 2001 (Vol. 28, No. 29).


## September 11: The Truth, Not the Cover Story

When Lyndon LaRouche, speaking on a live radio interview on the fateful morning of September 11, was able to identify without hesitation that the ongoing assault against the United States was an attempted coup d’état, run from within the U.S., which would be blamed entirely, but falsely, on Osama bin Laden, he was drawing on over thirty years’ experience in directing research projects by the investigative staff of the publication he created, *Executive Intelligence Review* (EIR), into how terrorism actually works. LaRouche has demonstrated that, in reality, terrorism is “irregular warfare,” with secret sponsors at the levels of major government intelligence services.

Soon after September 11, LaRouche’s warnings about the policy behind the

coup d’état began to take shape, as a network of active and former government officials tried to steer the “war against terrorism” into becoming a worldwide religious war, pitting the U.S. and its “allies” against “Islam.” Known as the “Clash of Civilizations,” this theory had been crafted decades earlier by cohorts of Zbigniew Brzezinski, British-operative Bernard Lewis of Princeton, and Samuel P. Huntington of Harvard.

In the interest of stopping that insane drive for global religious war, Lyndon LaRouche’s Presidential election campaign, LaRouche in 2004, has released two Special Reports, reproducing portions of the EIR studies, going back to 1985, on narco-terrorism and international money laundering, with the addition of interviews with LaRouche, and



**How To Defeat Global Strategic Irregular Warfare**  
Washington, D.C., LaRouche in 2004, October 2001  
208 pages, paperback, \$75.00

updates on the current strategic and economic crisis by LaRouche and others.

Issued for the benefit of policy makers and public officials, as well as for the American people and concerned citizens around the world, these publications have already been reproduced throughout the world, from Brazil to Saudi Arabia, and from Italy to the Philippines. It is notable that as these reports circulated, LaRouche has been repeatedly cited as the single American statesman who has not refused to address the truth—namely, that the magnitude of the September 11 attacks and the magnitude of the intelligence failures involved, prove that it was “an inside job.” This is all the more important because, while the nations in the coalition universally agree on the necessity to stop terrorism, there is little belief that such a major act of warfare as the events of September 11 was carried out by Osama bin Laden.


### In-Depth Background

The two reports go a long way to identifying the hand of the key institutions of the Anglo-American establishment, including Wall Street and certain U.S. special warfare operations, in creating terrorism.

In reading the excerpts from the 1980's and 1990's **EIR** studies today, the reader is able to reflect on the prescience and accuracy of the warning that irregular warfare was a means to impose a policy of “divide and conquer,” by those who aspire to a new imperium. The reports also force the question of why elected officials and the media in the U.S. have chosen to deny or neglect these facts, still today, even though neglecting them has brought the world to the abyss of a new Dark Age.

The first report, *How To Defeat Global Strategic Irregular Warfare*, begins with the transcript of that now-famous September 11 interview with LaRouche, conducted by Salt Lake City radio host Jack Stockwell, and continues with a second LaRouche interview conducted by **EIR**, one week after the attack.

It includes in full several 1995 reports from **EIR** on the creation of the “irregular warfare” capacity during the late 1970's and 1980's, as part of the U.S.,



### To Stop Terrorism, Shut Down “Dope, Inc.”

Washington, D.C., LaRouche in 2004, December 2001  
147 pages with full index,  
paperback, \$75.00

British, and Israeli sponsorship of Islamic covert armies to fight the Soviet Union in Afghanistan. Following the Soviet withdrawal from Afghanistan in 1989, the terrorist cells, financed largely through arms and drug trafficking, were dispersed to all corners of the globe, to be used as geopolitical weapons by the intelligence services which had created them. The role of London as headquarters of the so-called “Afghansi” terrorist networks, to be deployed against targetted governments, from Egypt to Russia to the Philippines, is thoroughly documented.

As long as the controllers of this terrorist capacity remain in place, the report argues, no manner of military operations against the mere deployable parts, in Afghanistan or elsewhere, will alleviate the continuing threat of irregular warfare against the U.S., nor against any other country on Earth.

### Shut Down ‘Dope, Inc.’

The second report, *To Stop Terrorism—Shut Down ‘Dope, Inc.’*, carries on its cover the now (in)famous photo of the warm embrace exchanged between New York Stock Exchange chief Richard Grasso and narcoterrorist FARC (Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia) leader Raul Reyes. The contents include extensive reprints from the

Third Edition of **EIR**'s out-of-print best seller, *Dope, Inc.: Britain's Opium War Against the U.S.*, which demonstrates the actual control of the \$600-billion-plus drug business by the leading financial houses of London, New York, and the British Commonwealth.

Thus, in combination, the two reports lay bare the truth of the total interface between drugs, terror, and the Anglo-American financial oligarchy.

In his Preface to the report on “Dope, Inc.,” LaRouche identifies three aspects of the interface between the world financial institutions and the promotion and use of terrorism by the U.S. and other leading governments: First, the creation of “deniability” of involvement by such governments in terrorist covert actions, by the use of money-laundering capacities related to drug and arms trafficking; second, large-scale state-sponsored warfare, like that of the 1970's-1980's Afghan war with the Soviet Union, financed by drug proceeds; and third, the logistics of the terrorist and money-laundering apparatus, which leads to off-shore money centers and the speculative operations in New York and London, especially the derivatives bubble.

LaRouche concludes: “Without shutting down all three of these types of channels, no effective blocking of international terrorism were possible.”

These Special Reports are mandatory reading for those who want to stop terrorism, and especially for inquiring minds that question the official “cover story.” Happily, the reports have been complemented by other publications on the September 11 attacks and its aftermath, which have been issued as sequential “Crisis Bulletins.” These appear on LaRouche's campaign website, [www.larouchein2004.com](http://www.larouchein2004.com), where the reader can also review LaRouche's recent presentations to a large number of international seminars and conferences.

In addition, LaRouche has authored the study, “Zbigniew Brzezinski and September 11th,” which will be the centerpiece of a third campaign Special Report, due to be released in early 2002. We look forward to its publication.

—Michael Billington