

not necessarily wedded to it. If you take the responsibility to examine what you really want to do, and act on it, then it becomes a theorem. You say, "Does this proposition pass the test?" Should you correct what is to become a theorem, you end up with an opinion, a considered opinion, which decides how you would act.

But, now step back and examine this, said LaRouche. You don't respond to events, to reality. Your assessments are controlled by beliefs that are largely hidden from you. This is responsible for every decision you make. This is what controls you; it's like puppets and puppet strings. You're controlled by your axioms, your postulates, your definitions.

The progress which mankind has made over the centuries, LaRouche said, is based precisely on the fact that man can free himself from this process. He's not just a jackass who has to be exactly like his father, and his father before him. If human beings behaved like this, like Prince Philip, like a higher ape, they would make monkeys of us all!

However, LaRouche said, we *can* examine beliefs. The process of scientific and technological innovation is a *violation* of what your father and grandfather did. It is the process of discovery, which sets us above Prince Philip. The basis for that process is described in *Genesis* 1:26-30—man is created *in the image of God*.

Impeach Tom Ridge

LaRouche reviewed the evidence against Ridge: "This is not an act of first impression. We have to impeach Ridge for crimes for which Nazis were indicted, sentenced, and executed at Nuremberg. This is not an analogy. This is a real, honest, God-fearing crime."

"This man, under the pretext of balancing the budget, with other resorts at hand, set out to kill people, knowing that this would kill people. He has targeted a group of 220,000 relatively helpless people in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, for withdrawing of their medical cards, which would cause, according to the best estimate, 3,500 deaths within the first six months."

If you actually get Ridge impeached, LaRouche said, this will change the national elections. He pointed to the fact that Dole was then seriously considering

733 State Representatives Sign LaRouche Exoneration Call

Support in the United States for the exoneration of Lyndon H. LaRouche, Jr., has reached unprecedented proportions, as 733 current and former state representatives of both major political parties had, by Aug. 3, signed an open letter to President Bill Clinton, calling upon him to exonerate Lyndon LaRouche. This represents approximately ten percent of the number of elected state representatives in the nation.

States in which more than ten legislators have endorsed the Open Letter, include: Alabama (29), Arkansas (17), Connecticut (14), Idaho (17), Indiana (12), Kansas (12), Kentucky (14), Louisiana (17), Maine (12), Michigan (19), Mississippi (60), Missouri (10), Montana (37), New Hampshire (52), New Mexico (25), North Carolina (21), North Dakota (37), Oklahoma (14), Pennsylvania (20), Puerto Rico (20), South Carolina (25), South Dakota (23), Tennessee (32), Utah (17), Vermont (19), West Virginia (16), and Wyoming (13).

In addition, the call has been endorsed by 29 former U.S. Congressmen, 415 elected municipal and county officials, 60 U.S. religious leaders, 300 human rights and community leaders, and over 160 trade union and farm organization leaders.

Internationally, signators include three former heads of state, including former Presidents Arturo Frondizi of Argentina and Manuel Solis Palma of Panama, and other leaders, such as former Prime Minister Dr. Abdelhamid Brahimi of Algeria; Dr. Jozef Mikloško, former Vice Prime Minister of former Czechoslovakia; Prof. Dr. Hans R. Klecatsky, former Justice Minister of Austria; Yao-Tung Chao,

Minister of Economic Affairs, Taiwan; and Mounir Chafiq, Chairman of the Islamic World Organization for Human Rights in Amman, Jordan.

Federal Judge Denies Habeas

Despite this growing movement for exoneration, on July 26 U.S. District Court Judge Richard L. Williams refused to overturn the fraudulent conviction of LaRouche associate Michael Billington in the Commonwealth of Virginia. Ignoring all evidence presented in a two-day hearing in May, in which Billington proved he was denied a fair trial, the judge instead launched a venal attack on LaRouche, and anyone associated with him.

"Billington wished to make his trial a platform to defend and extol the virtues of various LaRouche entities and LaRouche himself . . .," Williams blathered in his opinion.

Contrary to this politically motivated ruling, Billington proved at the May hearing that he was denied his constitutional right to a lawyer, while other evidence presented at the hearing showed that his lawyer's trial performance was so poor, that he agreed to let the prosecutor present false evidence, which helped lead to Billington's conviction.

Other documents showed that prosecutors withheld exculpatory evidence during the trial—but in January, Judge Williams refused to hear evidence of prosecutorial misconduct.

Billington and four other LaRouche associates—Anita and Paul Gallagher, Laurence Hecht, and Donald Phau—remain incarcerated in Virginia. Mr. Billington is serving a sentence of seventy-seven years.

Ridge, a mass-murdering criminal, as his vice-presidential running-mate.

LaRouche discussed the policy of putting a tax on speculative financial

transactions, which has been introduced in Pennsylvania's Legislature, as a real solution to the budget deficit—one which won't kill thousands of people.