In a period in which humanity seems to be swept into a maelstrom of irrationality, it is useful to recall those moments in history in which it succeeded in elevating itself from conditions similar to those of today, to the maximum clarity of reason. The 550th anniversary of the Council of Florence is the proper occasion for dealing with the ideas and events which led to such a noble hour in the history of humanity.

We would do well to orient ourselves according to this optimism, which is born of an unshakable faith in man in the image of God. For the dangers threatening us today in a near-apocalyptic manner are even greater than those which devastated civilization in the fourteenth century. Then, the dangers were the collapse of production and trade, the Black Death, belief in the occult, and schisms in the Church. Today, they are the threat that entire continents in the developing sector will be wiped out by hunger, the increasingly species-threatening AIDS pandemic, Satanism's blatant offensive, and an unexampled process of moral decay. The parallels are all too evident, yet this has not halted our headlong rush today into an age even darker than the fourteenth century.

The principal problem arises when man abandons God and the search for a life inspired by this
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aim. As Nicolaus of Cusa said, the finite being is evil to
the degree that he forgets that he is finite, believes with
satanic pride that he is sufficient unto himself, and lapses
into a lethargy which prevents him from developing
all his powers, hence preventing him from discovering
within himself the promise of his actual “divine origin.”
But precisely because the Christian humanist image of
man today is vulnerable to destruction from so many
different flanks, it is urgent that we learn from the
example of the Council of Florence.

The Catholic Concordance

I would like to outline the role which Nicolaus of Cusa
played in the Council of Florence, in bringing about the
union of the Roman and Eastern Orthodox Churches
on the basis of the highest common denominator. At the
time of the council’s conclusion in 1439, Cusa was thirty-
eight years old, and therefore, compared to the other
Church fathers present, a relatively young man. How-
ever, if one takes into consideration Cusa’s complete
works, by which he became, so to speak, the “gatekeeper
to the new era” and the founder of modern natural
science, then it is not surprising that he should have
contributed so much in practice and content, to make
the union of the churches possible.

In the preface to his most important work, the Catholic
Concordance, which was written in 1433 during the pre-
ceding Council of Basel, Cusa speaks of a new epoch in
the spiritual history of humanity. In this work, the basis
for human rights and for national sovereignty can be
identified, in that Cusa defined the relationship between
governor and the governed as a relationship based on
natural law. And, although he conceded maximum au-
tonomy to individuals and states, as also to individual
churches, he made clear that no lower association can be
on the side of reason if it places in jeopardy the interests
of all and the union of the universal Church.

Having understood that the Council of Basel, because
of its assertion of conciliar supremacy over the Pope, had
shown itself to be incapable of achieving union, Cusa
asked himself how union with the Eastern Church could
be achieved. With the schism of the Greeks (1054 A.D.)
still in effect, the Council of Basel, which represented
itself as a universal council, was in reality only a patriar-
chal council of the Western Church. To realize a uni-
versal council, in which all five patriarchs would participate,
would require union with the Eastern Church and the
consent of the Pope. What the reference points for such
a union might be, became clear to Cusa when he studied
the ancient texts of the preceding councils, an activity
which he had undertaken in order to write the Catholic
Concordance.

The Council of Basel Fails

When preparations for discussion of reunification with
the Eastern Church began in July 1436, Cusa was as-
signed several important tasks. Because he was among
the few who spoke Greek perfectly—as is demonstrated
by a sermon from the year 1428 or 1430 containing many
Greek quotations—he was elected council praecognitor
and conservator of the decrees on Oct. 5, 1436.

When, on May 7, 1437 a schism occurred at the Coun-
cil of Basel as a result of disagreements regarding the
location of the unity council, some representatives of the
minority current, loyal to the Pope’s request that the
council be held in Italy, left Basel. They were the bishops
Digne and Oporto, and Cusa. The Greeks welcomed
the minority request, and left Basel with them. This
strengthened Pope Eugene IV, who sealed the minority
decree with the Bull Sabinoris et Dei nostri, issued on
May 30, 1437.

Cusa participated in negotiations with Florence, which
initially failed due to the opposition of the Em-
peror Sigismond and of Charles VII of France. The
decision on the location of the unity council was therefore
postponed until the Greek delegation was to arrive.
Eugene IV then sent a delegation to Constantinople on
ships leased in Venice. The delegation was composed of
his nephew Antonio Condulmer, Mark, archbishop of
Tarantaize, Christoph Gavatori, bishops Digne and
Oporto, and Nicolaus of Cusa. The papal delegation
reached its destination on Sept. 3, 1437, and the Greek
delegate Dishypatos confirmed that only the Basel mi-
nority had the authority of the true council. The dele-
gates, acting as representatives of the Pope and the coun-
cil, opened negotiations with the Byzantine Emperor
and the Patriarch.

Shortly thereafter, the Council of Basel delegation
arrived in Constantinople, and even Emperor John VII,
who had not succeeded in overcoming the conflict, de-
cided to travel to Italy with the papal delegation. With
him traveled the Patriarch Joseph II, representatives of
all the patriarchs, and numerous fathers of the Eastern
Church.

The Greeks were acting on the basis of the same
considerations which had brought Cusa to conclude that
union would be possible only with the consent of the
Pope. This was likewise the gist of the advice offered by
the delegates John Dishypatos and Emmanuel Miloti,
who had collaborated closely with Cusa in Basel.

The Crucial Documents

Cusa had made good use of his stay in Constantinople.
Before writing the Catholic Concordance, he had collected
exhaustive source material on the synods which had taken place earlier in the East. He took with him a Greek manuscript which contained the acts of the Sixth and Seventh Councils, the Second and Fourth Councils of Constantinople of 680-681 and 869-870, and the Council of Nicea in 787.

He also took the Greek codex of the treatise of Saint Basil against Eunomius, which played an important role in the debate over the Filioque, i.e., that the Holy Spirit proceeds from the Father and from the Son. Since all the texts procured by Cusa dated back to the period before the schism, they had the effect of debunking the argument of the main Greek speaker, Mark Eugene, according to which the Filioque had been introduced only later.

Another decisive indication of the work of Cusa is the Codex Harlaianus, containing the texts of the Apostles and the letters of the New Testament. It becomes clear, that Cusa had personally researched that text, since in a gloss, he noted that the so-called “Comma Johanneum” (I John 5:7) was missing.

Other manuscripts brought back from Constantinople by Cusa, and today preserved in his library at Berncastle-Cues, are codices No. 8 and No. 9 with the Psalms; No. 18 with an exegesis of the Gospel according to Saint John written by the Greek fathers; No. 47, the prayers of John Chrysostom; and No. 48, the exposition of the Nicene David Paphlagon on Gregory of Nazianzo. Cusa also acquired a manuscript with the Platonic Theology of Proclus, which he then gave to Ambrose Traversari in Ferrara for translation.

It is therefore possible to hypothesize that it was Cusa—whom Piccolpasso described as an “expert in Greek and otherwise quite cultivated and endowed with universal gifts,” as well as a “discoverer of many manuscripts and the owner especially of Greek works, including those with Latin commentary and grammatical annotations”—who contributed the essential sources which were to demonstrate the correctness of the Latins’ argument on the Filioque, thus cementing the union. As early as Oct. 17, 1437, Cardinal Cesarini, speaking with Ambrogio Traversari, had described the manuscripts on the preceding councils as valuable background material for the consultations with the Greeks.

During the discussions which took place during the council, first in Ferrara and then in Florence, the Latins raised the argument that the Filioque was not an addition but simply a more precise explanation of an affirmation contained in the Credo. Even the fathers of the Second Joint Synod, they argued, considered it not an addition to the Nicene Creed, but a specification. In fact, they said, the Filioque is an explanation contained in the words who proceeds from the Father. Since the Son participates in the Father in all essential aspects, the Holy Spirit proceeds necessarily both from the Father and from the Son.

This had also been the argument of St. Basil, who taught that the Father would be unthinkable without the Son and the Holy Spirit. The three persons, he wrote, must always be thought of together: If one thinks only...
of the Son, one thinks also on the one hand of the Father and on the other, of the Holy Spirit, just as the procession of the Holy Spirit is recognized also from the Son. Everything that the Father has, the Son has as well, except for the fact that the Son is not the Father. For this reason, with that sole exception, everything that the Father affirms, the Son also affirms. According to John 16:15, Christ himself states: “Everything that the Father has is mine.”

This position was also presented by John of Montenero in the sessions going from March 2-24, 1439, when he spoke eloquently for the Latins. The argument struck the Greeks, particularly Isidor, Bessarion, Dorotheus of Mitsubishi, and Gregory Melissenos, chaplain of the imperial court. Isidor replied in the name of the Greeks that they needed some time to digest the argument fully, and that they would appreciate receiving it in written form, particularly the quotations from the Latin fathers. After having attentively studied the Patristic texts—in which a
crucial role was played by John of Ragusa’s comparison of the codex brought by Cusa with the text brought by Mark Eugene—on June 8 they recognized unity in the doctrine of the procession of the Holy Spirit.

The Significance of the Filioque

Even if the significance of the union of the Churches over the issue of the Filioque is undervalued by the majority of our contemporaries, they are at the very heart of the values of our Christian humanist culture, and the values of the Christian West. If we lose this knowledge, we will also lose what is most precious, that which is at the basis of our conception of man.

The emergence of Christianity marks the greatest turning-point in human history. By becoming man, Christ broke the cyclical image of history, which had been the leading feature of pagan, pre-Christian myths and cults. With Christ, who was at the same time man and God, man made in the image and likeness of God became capax Dei, that is, capable of participating in God, and thus capable of infinitely increasing self-perfection and approach to God. Only with the Son of God who becomes man, with the Passion and Resurrection, was man’s redemption made possible. God’s capacity to become man, and man’s capacity to participate directly in God, is the basis of the inalienable dignity of every man. No other monotheistic religion believes that God has become man. What Christianity allows man is his liberation, his freedom through necessity.

Nicolaus of Cusa demonstrated passionately the correctness of the Filioque, not only through his service to the Church, but also by his teaching of the Trinity and his Christology, which are of immense speculative greatness. For Cusa, Christ gives meaning to the universe, and his followers are those who give meaning to man. Thus he writes in the beautiful sermon “Confide, My Daughter” of 1444, “Let us seek in ourselves what Christ is! If we do not find him in ourselves, then we will not find him at all.”

Then, he continues with the following observation:

Until such time as man reaches life in his own humanity, the true cause of every life; in truth, cause of all that is true and acceptable; and in the Good, cause of all that is good and to which it is right to aspire—he will never reach his aim, he will never have peace.

How true! And how right it is, to affirm that the root of all unhappiness for those who today hastily and restlessly chase after pleasure, lies in the fact that they believe they can realize their own humanity in some way other than by “seeking Christ within themselves.”

This is why the Filioque is so important for us today. The idea that the Holy Spirit proceeds only from the Father, but not from the Son, contains a different relationship between man and God. It is, in a certain sense, a more impersonal relationship: The Father is more the authority, whom man must obey, whom man may indeed love, but more from a distance. Man does not participate in equal measure in the process of creation, as is the case if the Holy Spirit also proceeds from the Son.

Microcosm and Macrocasm

For Cusa, man is the microcosm in which all the various elements and lawfulnesses of the macrocosm are united, thus uniting the order of creation. Each man recapitulates within himself in concentrated form, the whole history of evolution, from the inorganic to the spiritual—an incredibly modern idea for a thinker of the fifteenth century!

The fact that no form of life can fully develop its capacities without participating in the next higher form, can be seen with animals, which only fully accentuate their potentialities once they come into contact at some point with that which is human; it can also be seen with man, who becomes fully man only if he participates in God. Thus, in Jesus Christ, man is enhanced to his maximum degree. Christ is, in fact, man in the most perfect manner, being at once fully God and fully man. For this, the perfection of man, and with him the perfection of all creation, are possible only if man is more than just man, and if he is at the same time also God. A perfected meaning is given to creation only if it is understood that the divine Logos takes into its possession and service, the primordial creative image of the universe, and of the man who represents it—a man who possessed personally the highest capacity for self-perfection.

Christ, as He who gave meaning to creation—what a wonderfully consoling thought! Yet, this very highest basis of existence is not too elevated for us, nor is it unreachable; it is up to us to open ourselves to this truth.

As Cusa states in The Vision of God, Christ is even closer to us than the father, the mother, the brother, or the friend.

Trinity Doctrine

Cusa was likewise drawing on Augustine and the school of Chartres, when he stated that man is in the image and likeness of the triune God. The unity and trinity of God consists in the fact that the three persons, Father, Son, and Holy Spirit, are one single universal principle
and one Creator.

It is truly fascinating to think that Cusa elaborated his trinitarian doctrine for the first time in *On Learned Ignorance*, a work which was born of discussions with the best and most cultivated Greeks during his crossing from Constantinople to Venice, which lasted three and a half months. He writes: “Compared to unity in multiplicity, similarity in diversity, and the harmonic order in the universe, God is the first principle, the absolute unity, equality, and connection, and therewith the one and triune cause from which the all multiplicity and diversity creatively derive.” He adds that divine “unity” spawns absolute “equality,” and that “connection” derives from both.

This speculative manner of understanding the Trinity occupied Cusa for his whole life, and, as emerges particularly from his *On the Peace of Faith*, he saw in it the best method for making the other religious representatives understand that the Christian trinitary concept does not have anything to do with a doctrine of three divinities. Cusa writes:

Some name unity Father, equality Son and connection the Holy Spirit, since those designations—even though they are not proper, nevertheless suitably designate the Trinity. For the Son is from the Father and Love or Spirit from unity and the equality of the Son. That is, the nature of the Father passes over in the Son into equality. Therefore, love and connection arise out of unity and equality.

In another location, Cusa uses an analogical description of the divine Trinity, comparing it to the image of Love—the three elements of the loving, the loved, and Love. We can add that without divine Love, *agapé*, man does not understand anything.

### The Image of God

Cusa dedicated a later work, *On Conjecture*, to Cardinal Julian Cesarini. Here, he developed the idea that the Trinity of absolute unity, infinite equality, and connection in God, taken together with the corresponding relationship between God and his Creation, are conjecturally transferred to man and his relationship to what on various levels man “creates, guides, and receives.”

Cusa wrote a personal letter to Cardinal Cesarini, affirming that the great similarity of man to God consists in the fact that man may participate with his insight, his justice, and his love, in divine unity, equality, and connection. In this form, man both encompasses within himself, at the microscopic level, and transcends the entire cosmos, and is, in his own way, simultaneously the receptive and the creative image of the triune God.

This is the essence of our existence.

Of course, man can choose to reject this fact. But in doing so, he violates the universal laws implicit in the order of Creation, and he cannot do so for long before nature rebels against him and brings about his demise. Or, as Pope John Paul II expressed it in the encyclical *On Social Concern*, nature will no longer recognize man as its master.

Our knowledge of the essential aim of our existence in God, as creative image of the triune God, is the most precious knowledge that we have. It is precisely this knowledge that we risk losing today. This is the central target of the satanic offensive today in all its convolutions. And precisely because the image of man thus defined is the focus of their attack, for the first time it is our entire human civilization which is at risk.

What is required, therefore, is an initiative which addresses the most important problem of our time, as the Council of Florence did with theirs. At that time, the problem was to bolster the unity of the Church against the onslaught of the Turks. Even if similar dangers stand out today, the central question of the existence of the human species, the *punctum saliens* of human history, is different today.

### Urgent Tasks

Today, the lives of billions of human beings are threatened by economic injustice—a problem which was already addressed twenty-two years ago by Pope Paul VI in the encyclical *On the Development of Peoples*. In the time that has elapsed since then, the problem has worsened so dramatically, that only with the immediate realization of the ideas contained in the *On the Development of Peoples* and the *On Social Concern*, will it be possible to save the human species.

But, as in the Council of Florence, union will be attained only on the same high level as the *Filioque* principle itself. Even to solve the problems currently afflicting humanity, it is necessary to find in Cusa's works those metaphysical and ontological truths which will necessarily lead to their solution. Only with the development of all microcosms, i.e., of all men on this planet, so that they realize their full, God-given human potential, will it be possible to reach a Concordantia.

Therefore, may this 550th anniversary of the Council of Florence serve as the occasion to revive this grand proof of the capacity of man to act on the basis of reason, with our theme this time being the realization of a plan for the development of all peoples. For, participation in the triune God concerns each and every human being.